Department of Geology RTP Criteria and Standards Approved by the Committee on Faculty RTP Criteria and Standards, April 14, 2022

Department/Unit Standards and Criteria for Retention, Tenure and Promotion

Department of Geology

Date Approved by the CNRS subcommittee of the Committee on Faculty RTP Criteria and Standards: January 12, 2016 (revised April 14, 2022)

Introduction

The following are the criteria for level of accomplishment in each of the categories for promotion to the rank of Associate Professor and Professor. The Geology Department expects that successful candidates for promotion to Professor demonstrate leadership in at least one of the three categories of teaching, scholarship, and service. Examples of leadership include but are not limited to: mentoring probationary faculty in teaching or research, coordinating multi-year department curriculum or degree changes, overseeing a large research grant, organizing a prominent conference, and items listed under leadership in service (section 3.b. of this document). The outcome for promotion (Acceptable/Unacceptable) is determined by the table in Section IX.2.c. of Appendix J.

Geology Department Mission Statement

Provide a broad yet rigorous geologic education that allows students to develop scientific thinking skills with particular emphasis on field-based research and active geologic processes

1. TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS

List activities to be assessed for determination of teaching excellence (See Appendix J, Section IX.B.1.a).

A. Goal for Teaching Effectiveness:

To provide students with scientific reasoning skills and ability to solve geologic problems in the field and in the lab and to communicate scientific methods, data and ideas effectively.

B. Activities to Assess:

The Department Chair and IUPC will give attention to qualitative and quantitative measures of teaching effectiveness. Ratings in the teaching effectiveness category reflect not only classroom performance, but also advising activities and pedagogical activities that pertain to improving the delivery of geology courses. Evaluations of teaching effectiveness shall be based primarily on written statements from colleagues within the Geology Department and/or University colleagues, as well as student evaluations.

(i) <u>Essential</u>: Essential performance in this category is based on evidence of a demonstrated commitment to teaching. Related criteria include all of the following.

- Effective teaching as indicated by course materials, including syllabi that clearly communicate course objectives, policies and grading criteria made easily accessible to students as well as (but not limited to) homework, exams, laboratory-based learning, and field-based learning.
- Include student evaluations of courses
- Collegial evaluations (letters) of courses that span the review period from departmental colleagues who have routinely made classroom observations and other colleagues where appropriate
- Letters from recent graduates or former students
- Evidence of continually striving towards the creation of a welcoming and inclusive learning environment in the class and field, where students from diverse backgrounds and cultures are treated equitably, and all students have equal opportunity to succeed. Examples of such activities are included in Appendix J.
- Evidence of accessibility to students, including weekly office hours
- Active engagement in curriculum development and assessment that promotes alignment of department curricular offerings with both the departmental learning objectives and the evolving needs of our academic discipline
- Appropriate preparation for all class meetings
- Dedication to and effectiveness in teaching across a broad range of levels including introductory, General Education, and specialized courses
- Advising and mentoring students, supervising teaching/student assistants
- (ii) Indicators of Excellence:
 - Consistently earning high (generally a score of 4 or greater for the majority of questions) student evaluation scores across a broad range of levels including introductory, General Education, and specialized courses
 - Regular supervision of quality student research, including senior theses, graduate theses, and independent study projects by students with different academic skills and academic background
 - Assuming difficult teaching assignments and achieving positive results. The challenge to the instructor, for example, may arise from the subject matter itself, a significant field-based component, the instructor's lack of familiarity with the subject matter, the audience involved, a change in the modality of the course (e.g., HyFlex, Online), or the number of different preparations
 - Reflecting on their own intersectional identity, positionality, and power and the effects of these factors on student learning

- Collegial letters documenting a teaching style that allows students time to process and answer questions, listens to student comments and questions using supporting/reflective listening skills, and encourages responses requiring reasoning.
- Successfully developing and offering new courses that are determined to be needed by either the department or student demands.
- Successfully expanding teaching approaches by introducing projects that go beyond the typical homework assignment; attending meetings or seminars to enhance or expand teaching styles, successfully implementing those teaching styles in the classroom
- Receiving a teaching award
- Directing successful independent or directed study courses, as indicated by the quality of the completed product of the students' work while taking the course
- Maintaining/updating a course through related readings, scholarship, and/or travel
- Preparing high-quality teaching materials such as online content, software, laboratory exercises, field-based exercises or class--related websites
- Incorporating outreach within course curriculum where students are given opportunities to be stewards of the community

C. Level of Accomplishment in Teaching:

All candidates for tenure and promotion must earn an "excellent" rating in Teaching. To do so, the faculty member should meet all conditions listed under "Essential" and have an accumulation of "Indicators of Excellence." The accumulation will not reflect a one-time achievement but, rather, a prolonged accumulation of success over the review period. As described in the introduction of this document, candidates for promotion to Professor must demonstrate excellence in teaching and leadership in one or more of the other two areas of assessment (Scholarly Activities, and Service). Examples of leadership in teaching include development of new pedagogy, development of curriculum that is inclusive of diverse groups of students, and/or earning an award in teaching. Thus, candidates for promotion to Professor should explain how the pattern of Indicators of Excellence demonstrates leadership, as appropriate.

2. SCHOLARLY AND CREATIVE ACTIVITIES

List the activities to be assessed for determination of scholarly/creative activities (See Appendix J, Section IX.B.2.a-f).

A. Goal for Scholarly and Creative Activity:

To be lifelong scholars in the field of earth sciences by conducting original research,

communicating research results to the scientific community, and advancing our understanding of the discipline. Collaboration is encouraged, especially with students.

B. Activities to Assess:

For the granting of tenure, the Department expects a faculty member to provide evidence of an on-going scholarly program. The geology department takes a broad view of scholarly activity in accordance with "Boyer's Model". Each faculty member is required to demonstrate contributions to knowledge in their area of specialization. It is expected that a faculty member will provide evidence of their efforts towards the dissemination of scholarly work in peer-reviewed articles published in scientific journals and submission of extramural research grant proposals is strongly encouraged. Contributions made during any service credit years granted to a faculty member will have equal standing to HSU--based contributions. The timing of contributions is not critical, but evidence of scholarly activity during employment at HSU must be present.

These and other contributions shall be in accordance with areas specifically indicated below. They are organized in two categories, whereas no relative importance or weighting is implied by the order within each category, the faculty member is encouraged to prioritize achievement of Category I conditions during the review period.

(i) <u>Category I Contributions</u>:

- *Peer-Reviewed Publications*: Academic journal papers that represent original contributions to knowledge in geology, geophysics, or geoscience education. Peer-reviewed books, book chapters, textbooks, technical reports, and review articles that primarily compile, organize and analyze material from the discipline are also acceptable. A full citation (with title, authorship, date, journal, volume, pages) shall be included in the PDS. For the purposes of RTP, a contribution is considered "published" when a journal or editor has communicated that the manuscript has been accepted. For any co-authored publications, the specific role of the faculty member in generating the final product should be indicated. *In exceptional instances a single notable publication may be counted as two Category I contributions. The significance of the paper should be documented by letters of support from other researchers, and the faculty member should have played a lead role in the research.*
- Funded Extramural Research Grants: Funded extramural grants that support original research, whether for instrumentation, personnel, student research stipends, educational opportunities, or operating expenses. Co-PIs should specifically indicate the contributions they made to the proposal. Exceptional size and longevity of funded grants may carry additional weight towards fulfilling the requirements for tenure.

- **Supervision of Completed Masters Theses**: Masters Theses on which the faculty served as the thesis advisor or co-advisor. A student thesis is considered complete when all committee members have signed it.
- (ii) Category II Contributions:
 - **Supervision of Completed Undergraduate Theses**: Undergraduate theses on which the faculty served as the thesis advisor or co-advisor. A student thesis is considered complete when all committee members have signed it.
 - **Extramural Meeting Presentations**: Published abstracts from papers or posters presented at regional, national or international meetings, conferences, or symposia (including such meetings when they are held on campus) on which the faculty served as a co-author.
 - *Funded Intramural Grants*: Seed grants for research, graduate student support, etc., awarded by on-campus selection committees.
 - Submitting a grant proposal that would directly support the teaching mission of the university
 - *Field Guide Contributions*: Field guide papers or chapters that represent original contributions to knowledge in education, geology, geophysics, earth science or their application. For the purposes of RTP, a field guide contribution is considered "published" when a journal or editor has communicated that the field guide has been accepted. For any co-authored publications, the specific role of the faculty member in generating the final product should be indicated. *In exceptional instances a notable publication, supported by a letter from a colleague may be counted as a Category I contribution. The significance of the field guide contribution should be documented by letters of support from other researchers, and the faculty member should have played a lead role in the research.*
 - **Technical Reports**: Non-peer-reviewed technical reports presented in completion of contracts that have a substantial scholarly value. *The significance of the report should be documented by the faculty member*.
 - **Other Non Peer-Reviewed Publications**: A scholarly contribution that is available to the public in print or digital format. Examples include reviews of scholarly articles published on self--published websites, and published final reports.
 - **Academic Seminars**: Presentation of Departmental Colloquia at HSU or elsewhere. This may include conducting workshops or seminars that substantially enhance teaching within the department, university, or profession
 - **Other Unpublished Material:** (limit of 1): Manuscripts in preparation, grant proposals in preparation, student theses in progress. Such unpublished contributions must be documented and evaluated (e.g., with letters of support from colleagues).

• Unfunded Extramural Grant Proposals: Unfunded extramural grant proposals in support of original research, when such proposals were submitted to well-established funding agencies (e.g., NSF, GSA, NPS, USGS, USFS) for competitive evaluation by peers.

C. Level of Accomplishment in Scholarly and Creative Activities:

The following criteria for level of accomplishment in Scholarly/Creative Activities apply for tenure with promotion to Associate Professor. However, as described in the introduction of this document, candidates for promotion to Professor must demonstrate leadership in one or more of the areas of assessment (Teaching, Scholarly Activities, and Service).

- (i) <u>Minimal Essential</u>: At least **one** peer-reviewed publication as a first or lead author published during the review period and an average of at least **one** Category I or Category II contribution per year in the review period
- (ii) <u>Good</u>: At least **one** peer-reviewed publication as a first or lead author published during the review period, at least **one** more Category I contribution in the review period, and an average of at least **one** Category II contribution per year in the review period
- (iii) <u>Excellent</u>: At least one peer-reviewed publication as a first or lead author published during the review period, at least two more Category I contributions in the review period, and an average of at least one Category II contribution per year in the review period

Candidates for promotion to Professor should explain how their scholarly contributions demonstrate leadership, as appropriate. Examples of leadership in Scholarly Activities include recognition at the regional, national, and/or international level for scholarship in their field, management of at least two research grants during the period of evaluation, and/or incorporation of undergraduate and graduate students in research. The list below describes the activities and level of accomplishment necessary to meet departmental criteria for Scholarly and Creative Activities for the time period of evaluation:

- (iv) <u>Minimal Essential</u>: At least **one** peer-reviewed publication published during the review period, at least **one** more Category I contribution in the review period, and an average of at least **one** Category II contribution per year in the review period or most recent six years (whichever is less).
- (v) <u>Good:</u> At least *one* peer-reviewed publication published during the review period, at least *two* more Category I contributions in the review period, and an average of at least *one* Category II contribution per year in the review period or most recent six years (whichever is less). Additional Category I contributions may be substituted for Category II contributions.

(vi) <u>Excellent</u>: At least *one* peer-reviewed publication published during the review period, at least *three more* Category I contributions in the review period, and an average of at least *one* Category II contribution per year in the review period or most recent six years (whichever is less). Additional Category I contributions may be substituted for Category II contributions.

3. SERVICE

List activities to be assessed for determination of service (See Appendix J, Section IX.B.3.a--g; 4 and 5). And from the RTP C&S Final Report 2018: 3.1: "Tenure track faculty are expected to carry out normal professional duties, such as regularly attending convocation, commencement, and department meetings, majors meetings (e.g., Fall and Spring Welcome, Advising Night), academic advising, writing peer evaluations for colleagues and letters of reference for students and working collaboratively with colleagues. These activities are not classified as "service activities" but as part of faculty's essential service. Candidates who consistently fail to carry out these duties shall not receive a positive recommendation for tenure/promotion."

A. Service Goals

To provide the campus and broader community with educational opportunities and access to expertise in geology, geophysics, earth science, and geological hazards as well as to promote active involvement of faculty in campus, regional, state, national and international organizations leading to improvements in education, sustainable resource management, and the advancement of the geological discipline.

B. Activities to Assess

All faculty are expected to contribute to the effective operation of the department, college, and university, and strive to promote the discipline of geology and earth sciences in society. Evidence of faculty contributions over the period of evaluation for tenure and/or promotion is collected through written letters from colleagues inside and outside of the university, students, community members, and discipline - related professionals. Activities to consider in the evaluation of service may include some, but not necessarily all, and are not limited to the following:

i. <u>Department Service</u>

- 1. Regularly, cooperatively, and collegially participate in department committees that contribute to department policy development, governance, and curriculum
- 2. Mentoring other faculty members, participating in faculty/staff search committees, organizing, directing and/or implementing faculty development activities,

establishing and maintaining effective, collaborative working relationships with colleagues and other university personnel, and participating in academic program development

- 3. Demonstrate a pattern of serving as an undergraduate thesis reader and graduate thesis committee member who works constructively and collaboratively with the student, advisor, and thesis committee
- 4. Sponsoring/advising student organizations and student support initiatives
- 5. Updating, retrofitting, and supervising use of department lab space
- 6. Contributing to and maintaining a presence on departmental social media, newsletters, and webpages
- ii. University Service
 - 7. Fulfilling administrative responsibilities at the university and college level; contributing to university and college policy development and governance
 - 8. Collaborating throughout the campus community on projects, workshops, presentation, and other campus activities
 - 9. Contribute to department or university development through corporate grants, donations of equipment, and other entrepreneurial activities
 - 10. Contribute individually and collaboratively to the development of department and university academic programs
 - 11. Service on personnel committees for other departments
- iii. Community Service
 - 12. Making research understandable and usable in specific professional and applied settings, including giving presentations for the public and school groups as well as engaging in broader-impact service activities related to a PI's external grant.
 - 13. Testifying as a scientific expert before legislative or congressional committees
 - 14. Writing for popular and non-academic publications, including newsletters, blogs and magazines directed to agencies, professionals, or other specialized audiences
 - 15. Serve as a knowledge source for media outlets and community members/groups
 - 16. Participating in collaborative endeavors with schools, industry, or civic agencies
 - 17. Consulting with local, county, state, federal, or international governments; schools, libraries, museums, parks and other public institutions; groups; or individuals
 - 18. Providing public policy analysis, program evaluation, technical briefings for local, state, national, or international governmental agencies
- iv. Service to the Profession
 - 19. Participating in professional organizations
 - 20. Evaluating programs, policies, or personnel for agencies and institutions

Approved by the Committee on Faculty RTP Criteria and Standards, April 14, 2022

- 21. Reviewing journal articles and grant proposals
- 22. Serving on panel reviews for external funding agencies (including mail and panel reviews)
- 23. Serving as external reviewer for geology programs at other universities
- 24. Serving as external thesis advisor

v. Service Leadership

- 25. Chairing an active committee at the department, college, or university level
- 26. Chairing or leading a service activity in the community
- 27. Chairing a committee or holding office in a regional, state, or national organization
- 28. Develop and lead outreach activities and programs that enhance the university's ability to serve the needs of a diverse student body
- 29. Develop and lead training workshops and other forums for the dissemination of teaching techniques or demonstration of novel teaching methods
- 30. Taking a leadership role in conferences as a panel organizer, panelist, or session convener
- 31. Develop and lead field trips for professional societies
- 32. Coordinate and lead curriculum developments across departments that have a demonstrably significant impact on the academic program
- 33. Serving as an editor for peer-reviewed scientific journals
- 34. Organizing and Chairing conferences

C. Level of Accomplishment in Service:

The following criteria for level of accomplishment in Service apply equally for tenure with promotion to Associate Professor and promotion to Professor. However, as described in the introduction of this document. Candidates for promotion to Professor must demonstrate leadership in one or more of the areas of assessment (Teaching, Scholarly Activities, and Service). Thus, candidates for promotion to Professor should explain how their service contributions demonstrate leadership, as appropriate. As a measure of effort within each level of service, an estimate of the time invested can be used. Activities and level of accomplishment necessary to meet departmental criteria for Service are:

- i. <u>Minimal Essential</u>: Minimal Essential performance in this category includes satisfaction of the criteria in a variety of items 1-11. Also, at least 30 or more hours of service per year beyond normal professional duties (defined above).
- <u>Good</u>: Good performance in this category includes satisfaction of the criteria for "Minimal Essential" and service in activities in items 12-24. Also, at least 60 or more service hours per year beyond normal professional duties.
- iii. <u>Excellent</u>: Excellence in service requires satisfaction of the criteria for "Minimum Essential", <u>and service in</u> activities in items 12-24, and leadership role in service as

described by items 25-34. Also, at least 120 or more service hours per year beyond normal professional duties.

Candidates for promotion to Professor should explain how their scholarly contributions demonstrate leadership, as appropriate. The list below describes the activities and level of accomplishment necessary to meet departmental criteria for Service for the time period of evaluation:

- iv. <u>Minimal Essential</u>: Minimal Essential performance in this category includes satisfaction of the criteria in items 1-11. Also, at least 45 hours of service per year beyond normal professional duties.
- v. <u>Good</u>: Good performance in this category includes satisfaction of the criteria for "Minimal Essential" and service in activities in items 12-24. Also, at least 90 hours per year beyond normal professional duties.
- vi. <u>Excellent</u>: Excellence in service requires satisfaction of the criteria for "Minimum Essential", <u>and service in</u> activities in items 12-24, and leadership role in service as described by items 25-34. Also, at least 150 hours per year beyond attending normal professional duties.

Department/Unit Standards and Criteria for Retention, Tenure and Promotion Cover Memo

The following tenure---line faculty of the Department of <u>Geology</u> have had the opportunity to review the new/revised department RTP criteria and standards as sent forward in the attached document.

Name/Date	Approve – Yes or No	
Jaclyn Baughman	YES	NO
Brandon Browne	YES	NO
Laura Levy	YES	NO
Melanie Michalak	YES	NO

Documents will reside in the appropriate college office. Final approved documents will be posted on the Academic Personnel Services web page.

Department of Geology RTP Criteria and Standards Approved by the Committee on Faculty RTP Criteria and Standards, April 14, 2022